

1-07

Hyp: In conjunction with attack on Iran
by Israel?

by US, after Israeli attack on
Iran, provoking Iran attack on US ships?!

2-12-07 Tuesday

Don - news

Time for moral courage: risk-taking; strategic action

For what would you risk your life?

Crisis - before Nov 2008 / for 09 your career?

(cf. soldiers in Iraq) CLEARANCE Congress / Exps

- this needs - split moral decisions;

Test of us - of our - this bodies, genitals

- orders

(We) must not fail to ^{democracy} and our desire to restore it

- torture

do everything we can - NV,

truthfully (truth-telling)

risk-taking - to keep long

THIS PRES, VP, THIS ADMIN

FROM - DOING WRT IRAN

WHAT HE DID WRT IRAQ,

LATE 2001, 02, 03, 04,

05, 06,

your marriage?

children's education

(Dumbbell - won't risk

royalties

(Has he told Congress - in

closed session?

Intell Committee?

Rockefeller?

- not big cultural issues

(Risk pros - for torturing, in ticking bomb situation)

Don

Stop Don:

Congress

Media

House

Lawyers

UK - Labor - Blair

Church

(vs. Bin Laden)

Chavez

(vs. Iraqis from no threat)

NATO

WBs

Imprudent (Chung)

What will / did you do to avert from attack?

[Problem: to rule out attack, even oppose it,
["is next 5-10 years: no crisis "]
without "underlying negot - i.e.]

(What makes it a crisis?)

"Conf & Chng are resolute enough,
bold enough

to carry out an insane, criminal move

["Have next to deal with proliferation "

[Bush: problem is proof. to lead guys -
this is the way. "

Ash Center, Perry (Clinton - Howe)

X

[How smoke out - make public - internal
opposition by Gates & Rice (= Kaniel & Rogers?)

Hardly? Poor?

Have got VCS to testify?

(CFR) (GELB) (Hoo! Whiston panel?

Koppel?

[What if Chng had been killed in Kaniel?]

| What worked with NK is much more
knowing with Iran,

Non-existence of secret ^{program} ~~spies~~ can be
demonstrated - give information, ~~insurance~~

Drug disruption did work.

2-17-7

Will Dens challenge trial on form?

Change of venue

Monday
Hyp: 2-19-07 5:30 PM

Iran attack as a provocation ^{initially} (non-muc)
to Iranian response

justifying COG

(strategy of "tension"?)

Fear what comes after an attack!

(Adm frank on Iran!)

(Initial attack might be "surgical")

2-22-7

Santitas (you can conclude from article)

The President is simply lying

"We are not planning

("do not intend...")

Possible opponents:

for Rockefeller

Wick

Hore?

Cassidy Carter

ECK?

Edwards?

Out of line Cool?

signs of de Fazio

forms bills

Back to:

forms

de Fazio (Pete?)

Lee?

Woolley?

More On?

Kingman, Daniel, Harburt, Krostof

NYT ed?

VIPS

UK?

"WBs" — Odom, Wilson, Piller, Clarke

generals

San Kordian

Hiraldi

UPJ?

Mag Street Group: Baker

LEAK NIE

2-22-07

Will an attack on Iran

make America safe? OR

save American lives (in Iraq) OR...

Reilly 2-22-07

Edwards: greatest threat is Israeli attack
on Iran

Peterson on attack: Move On, Weekly Clerk/IVAW,
Peace Action

Haley 2-14: Must have Cong. auth.
(if not - imprudent?)

London 2-23-07

Services sent to Gates: might improve if attack.

Opposed by Gates (!), Rice (!) (see Og/Sect 1000
Hoddy? = Nixon, VN!

Boehner of Cheney (+ Bush)

(see N/NAK (+

2-22-07

Blain appears

Fenigold for cutting funds

Gray

Bob Hall (call)

Pillon

[Re-activate Congress!

Salomon - that Penn to prevent others!

Beard: Court crisis on Iran

Webb

Hagel

Reid

(Hagel, Press need for
a TG incident

(see Webb on
potential in Persian Gulf

A PERSIAN GULF INCIDENT, is on near future?

(1st attack provoked (34A-type action warning!)

2nd "attack" - "mis-interpreted"

(see shooting of Iranian airliner)

c-mail

2-24-07

"problem of Don candidates on Sam/Isal

PDA

Kucinich

Wes Clark

Gravel

Feingold

2-25-

WAE/H (Runk) always saw Procy as
inevitable

(join US / NWS position + use?)
Were they wrong?
Best way to adapt to this?

Feb 10

Paul Craig Roberts: We're looking for Poland (after
Cyberowicz, Prague) without having a post-
Rusichy Free police state

without a European Act

(signing statements

= fear of danger
+ war

Process for improving for antitrust issues
for improving all other central. If any
were faulted to the north [or officials]
B+C (+R) would have been improved.

Roberts: Ult. ^{+ connected,} to B-C - if attack or loss - improvement

[Coy. has no legal priority, challenge
obligation, responsibility, then to
investigate

remove B+C

remove measures: Nature Court

cult. or
improvement

{ stop war is key (else?)
avoid attack or loss.

Feb 3

Perry: Should Bush be behind, this time,
when he says he wants a dip. solution
in Iran [or that threat of a Iran bomb is
with 2 years - even Bush - is doing (double
and) US pulling now ?]

was as "last
month"

see 2005-03:

2-28-07

or - war news, under me - became later (I
won't get the credit, or benefit;

Sales pitch for war (war propaganda)
produced by WH
convinced of press finger

An ad/PR campaign is underway
("It's not Argent")

Premise 1) USG change in policy as attractive
is "off the table"
withhold

may be true - withdrawable / irreparable (politically)
("less desirable, overall")
- then war
prohib
we want?!

2) Now you have me (+ Blair?)
withdraw

later, not.

(might / prob. would not go to
war at all.

Wanted Heru, King, Clinton have gone to
war over Iran? or Bush I? Dale?
(Kiebas - yes; Israeli influence on Clinton / Heru?)

(If Bush I were moving against
Bush II, I'd want to bond as for King or Heru)

"NEGOT" BY THREAT

raids legit, to Talk of "all options" (uses; aggression)
reduces for, laws
war / threatened for
congress at threat.
- does anti-prohib, is pro-prohib (+ pro-use)
- is an attack on int. law

NK is using
its weapons
already!

(to the good!

So - should Iran get war?!

(Walfer

defines regime state

(in formal

is we demand on the
table for formal?

Non-aggression pact? Settlement?

2-25-07

Stopping them

Resignation is not enough — before or after
attack,
and
Answer, leaks, without does — not enough.

Closed testimony to Intel Comm. — not enough.

And Swines?

after testimony?

~~Adviser~~ to judiciary

Does to anti-attack Congresspersons

Person on VCS to — appear formally
— testify to Congress

DOCS

Warnings of Person Self Interests (Res.)

Liberal press advice:
Israeli attack

Dickens

Shirley — Leon? Streedman?

Companion contributors?

Alan Fox? (Pleasi
Olson)

but Congress loose!
Edwards

Cong. Focus on them

got Kucinich, DeFazio, co-sponsors behind her bill

Letter? warning of impeachment (51?)

Can a Repub suit, to neutralize him?!!

Liberman factor? Can he really control these issues?
Is his threat without ties to him?!

To generals ready to resign:

If that: responsibility is to do your best
to stop it

truthfully + NV

expose it

oppose it openly

There are arguments/reasons why not to do this

BUT PRESERVING YOUR OWN CAREER SHOULD
NOT BE ONE OF THEM.

Crisis do demand of: willingness to sacrifice careers,
we need (Profiles in) Courage official

This can't wait till 2009!

(or, election year, 2008)

see
Moral
Byrd
(23 v. Hong
133

[Could Abroad. be persuaded:

2. to accept Holocaust-like Khmeri!
1. to clarify/withdraw any threat to Israel
3. Obama father against neo: ^{distractions}

[Hypo: targeting "routes of supply" from Iran to Iraq
(Kerak) is to prevent Iranian support to
Shiite ~~off~~ (or Sunni!) after US attack
on Israeli

(cf. Kennedy's "ethical dilemmas" - w/out personal sacrifice

To generals ready to resign:

If that: responsibility is to do your best
to stop it

truthfully + NV

expose it

expose it openly

There are arguments/reasons why not to do this

BUT PRESERVING YOUR OWN CAREER SHOULD
NOT BE ONE OF THEM.

Crisis as defined by: ^{demands} willingness to sacrifice careers,
we need (Profiles in) Courage official

This can't wait till 2009!

(or, election year, 2008)

see
Moral
Byrd
(23 J. King
133

[Could Ahmad. be persuaded:

2. to accept Holocaust - like Khmeri!
1. to clarify/withdraw any threat to Israel
3. Obama father against nukes! ^{distractions}

[Hypo: targeting "routes of supply" from Iran to Iraq
(Herod) is to preempt Iranian support to
Shiite ~~off~~ (or Sunni!) after US attack
on Israeli

(cf. Ahmad's "ethical dilemmas" - w/out personal sacrifice

2-25-07

Wanda Samuels says: We can't attack after
embroid has proceeded in mass,
because of radioactivity?

What does it take to hunt on ME +
me profit and race? inc. from (Tenggen)
within an attack on Iran
Art. VI (stop violating it)

BT
Reg

Sunday: JAMAIL

(so for 3-17?)

Cohn
Cabrero
(PDS)

B folders Kory
Common Dreams ^{Fin/foam} borders

Monday:

Kibby

UN Sec. Council

Heath UK Papers

NYT

Ritter

Rosen

truthout

Iran Cole

Memorios

Everett

Parry

Jamail

morning shows

ACIL

Sam Smith

Also Korte

Underwood

Pawel

Thiffen
Markman
Kreiger

2-26-07

(Haram) Is US provoking Sunni-Shia
split - in Iraq

in region, would - to isolate Iran,
weaker - could region change
spoke off Khayastan?

and trying to provoke Iran
- to attack us

and/or - to attack Iran

Also get full support in Congress
(and C2 (Christian groups) has long, all-
out war

Syria

Libanon

What of: Sol. region in Pak?

New program in Gulf, Saudi, Egypt?

After attack (esp. we attack) a Iran -

? Russia + China - Mean Iran

- Remotest Iran

- help with new program

- GIVE NOGS

(now? to prevent
attack?)

2-25-07

Sy:

- How sure is he Israel won't initiate
the war - or "threat" to, "force" US
position

(as if we will stop them?)

CAS will? Can Congress / on aid?

"What would an Israeli attack mean
for US aid to Israel?"

Iraqi airspace?

Policy of Maliki gov on use of
Iraqi airspace?

or bases in Iraq?

Relations with US gov - warning?

Ask Munt: Even give a US l-t promise
on bases in Iraq.

Kleene

What of Iran?

Does US expect demand attack on Iran?

Could it survive from bank?

Israel?

or "want" that be precluded?

(w. China)

2-26-7

What is danger to US (interests?)
of a neo-arab Islam?

How could it exert its (increased)
unity/influence?

to what end, effect?

How could it threaten Israel?

(greater support to Higballed (10?)
and Hamas?)

What are lands?

Egypt?

Should HAK/RWB/(McN? 64)/N vision
of inevitable Arabif be accepted—
or not?

(Premises were against all ^{potential} hostiles
(except Russia & China!))

Pak?

(Argentina?

Brunei?

UK?

Tanin?

~~#~~

Can "hostiles" be ^{our allies were} permitted when/if anyone
else has the?

Will Iran be tipping point?

(Is. was not

or, India/Pak

(When and Iran "diverts"?)

Could Khomeini STEP BACK? (NK)

Admitted talk of Holocaust + "erasing"
Israel (a message he was unwilling
to commit definitely)

here, recognizing Israel's "right to
exist" or existing V (Hamas)

(W) does he support (bin) Hamas?

Could it stop? (2003 offer)

accept / recognize Israel in '67 borders
(vs. Hamas) (offer)

Could it Khannu assert his (actual?)
central of foreign / internal policy:
defense or straighten out
Abbas. ?!

Can't have bin without "one option"
in S-P. ?

2-28-07

from should not (be allowed?) get nuclear
weapons. (Rather: throw out inspectors)

How prevent this?

us. Ritten

Not by attack.

2) bilateral negot (in NK?)

(b) De-legitimize (if not, "criminalize"?)

nukes; global prohibition on prolif. and
retention of nukes —

(do we leave NK with nukes?

Pak? Russia etc.

strong, fast, reciprocated movement towards
0!

Each use immediately now, us. from
USSR

(If threats don't end soon, without
war, game against prolif is hopeless,
is short-run.

(Yes, if Iran gets nukes — in 3-8 years —
game against prolif is hopeless;
but attack spheres that day...

"when Iran gets nukes, or is attacked, prolif
is unstoppable — whichever comes first

(+ I would say — if prevent USG threats/act
continue to be unheeded — a year, a month...?

Think up "counter-prolif" (Carter/Perry (1993 ^{Korea}, + policy)
Lieber

see Osiris — NOT a success.

2-28-07

Dial Osiro attack slow, or speed up,
Iraq program?

Would SH have been as close to a bomb
as he was in 1990, without Osiro?

see N are threat to Iran, 1971

"Should" Nassim have attended Division in 1967?!

Would that have delayed bomb badly as of 1973?

Tribut program: How will it go?

(see FU debate in Germany: 1999?)

If we hold off attack on Iran (and thus also, rice attack)
till Jan 08

we will buy still more time to reverse
course

If we don't block attack under Bush,
we will ("probably") "allow" reverse course
(even if our imperial ambitions collapse
economically — fast — we won't
democratize — or democratize

— see Russia!

(at "best" will become
like Russia today — or, a
ruined and France/Poland/
Brazil/Argentina/Armenia
(Colombia) regime

Colusso: There are more in addition to option
for we weapons to have we. involved caps:
that apply to ^{*}Hungary, [†]Japan, [†]Brazil, [†]Netherlands
and Peru 5

(and Argentina[†], Australia[†], South Africa²)

and in addition to the own energy needs

(who also want we option!) (He is "about half" one of
(and for Japan's improving plant) these! But not we. we're
see list of reasons: determined to spend more
than these.

(What of India, Saudi, NK, Pol, NK? ~~don't have~~
they must (?) have such caps, like Peru 5—
not only for weapons!)

To Ritten: if goal is ^(only) regime change — how will attack
do that?

To MHA + SH — is there any hint that Bush still
believes this? If not...?

My App: also — provide for retal, which gives
us: Expe dictatorship, deterrence
and draft (opposed by blacks, too?
Confederacy: segregation;
(and new testing) racial
profiling...

A. (We will be in business in May in year 2009 (and long beyond
B But we might not have attacked Iran. If we have:
(After we win Iran + Syria: where else?)

using
the new?

Pakistan?

(ADM)

Does Russia fight back in Stans? Caspian...

Colombia? (Venezuela?)

(after Iran missiles...)

BS

Did Israel have "the right" to establish a
Jewish State in Pal., and expel Poles and refuse
to allow them to return?

(Did European settlers have "the right" to exterminate
Indians and take their land?


(Mexican War?

Hawaii / Alaska Purchases: yes.

(The ... ?)

Do whites have a "right" of armed
resistance?

Terror? (I would say, NO - no one does)



2-28-07

to Hersh's article being acted on immediately
in Congress?

By other reporters?

In other nations? (goose)



Goodman int. 2-28-07

Pat: How get leverage on Hersh/Bittner/

Priority: from

(improvement if...) - need a law, a Boat

Amendment

(stoppage come action? 34A, Hersh...

(Which of them are intended to provide an
attack on our ships? 34A-type?!

Margoson!

DeLoe patrols?!

(not proving :- independent (yet)

MORE REPORTING

(fossil; Schen;
Parentis;

outside Hersh
zone

- see Strong

(Doesn't want a vote in defining war:

have the control vote?

or, leader oppose, even if they could win?

(prob. bold: 1st sufficient)

- Get revision on Bittner, Hersh assertions, as well as analysis

(testing? look to Congress?

NIE? to Congress! (from...?)

* compel testing from Reproducts, on Hersh

statements on how from Bohn Group }

Krueger? Get "HAK Group" to oppose IRAN }

(Col. Odum; Scavensht; Bry;

2-28-07

VIPs

- Bring out and support panel (of Cong action)
of "Iron doves" in Adv. vs. Ching & Bush & Abrams:

Gates (!) Rice (!) Hoadly? (Powell?)

Wilkinson, Pillar (misest?) Military: Ang/Navy

[see balance of the Doves in VN
and Iraq

NOW?

Lesson of VN: 1964-65

67

Kant - Rogers!

NSC-5

Provocations:

Northwards } de bats?
Mungooll }
34A }

Oct 1964 - JTM, WPB
(Leabon)

done by a dove

No, I don't think we did 9-11.

But we did

ask the Master, or just quote him:

Feb 1965

see me, in Feb 1965!

quote
SH,
Ritter.

Pat. People think, attack on Iran is a bad
idea, not that like the Surge:
not that it's apocalyptic

(Iraq did not retal. on US/Iraq, any more
than VC. And it cannot be overpriced, as
"like VN or Iraq" (as Theresa, Panama) (Nicaragua)

If SH is right

then by our planning to provide an
attack by force on OBL

as in BMA-RCin U-2

1964-65...

WE NEED "DSM" NOW / Charlie, etc. now / Could speak at
Scheuer?

- JFK in Oct 1 1962 had not decided,
as "planned, intended" to invade Cuba
by Oct 20 - but wanted to have 24-hour
option (see now, at least)

(N had decided? on Nov 3, 62 - but
backed off.

from units option

(and may be purposing intent!

(Publicize: much more dangerous to attack than the
dreg (and that could have been much
worse! CW, Baghdad... several...

as JCS announced
mobilization

If Berlin wants and wants a draft - by and
(intent.) attacks by force on AQ...

Waste BUT - demands of empire/oil don't
control attack in force - are attitudes of
Kato + Rine... / any/may (down on VN
dreg...)

If other inhibits were in - Dns, Repubs -
they wouldn't be taking these risks

So then resistance to a draft as strong as CBI's resistance
to mobilization?

Colasano/

to have 1- several hours

- How long does it take from the time
IAEA inspectors are kicked out, and higher
enrichment begins?

- If Iran has warheads, it can run up ^{to 4000} kg vs. Israel
more,

without fear that if Israel responds in Iran,
and Iran responds on Israel (non-nuc)
that Israel will attack with nucs
(Thinks, now)

or outbreak Arabia in Iraq more...

(Iran was neutralize threats of FC, and
of major non-nuc attacks
(from US, Is; Pak?
don't affect (?) relations with Saudis,
Egypt...)

If disputes can be settled, of agents with
Iran, and Is/Pak, and Lebanon/Syria - Is....

Would NYT publish SH pieces - summed
as they are? (and with the context?)

Wash Post?

WG is he not on network TV?

WG any document?

SH on Iran Stewart

Main On / PSR (sol)

What to do?

Amintyale (sol...)

Cut-off

make lean
(inprochable)

(?)

Calvesso Ruff. inprochable is lean
Kunjin get elsewhere?

(heat...)

By an inprochable lean

Don
US public
3-3-7 Rutter: To get what "we" (not Admin) want
without making any concessions that the
Admin want give (in contrast to NK

But the change/scene is
Bush report. feeling before
Iran gets weapons, or
before/without a war.

you need a threat of war.

you need to perceive that a war would
be a) legit, and (b) necessary, and (c)
better than either making concessions
or "allowing" Iran to get arms (in 3-8 years)

Explicitly, Don accepts urgency.

Iran will be a threat to our troops in
another country (Iraq) and to US, and to
Israel (as Iraq never was) after we
attack: It is not today, nor ~~that~~ in future
before an attack (even with arms, 3-8 years
from now: or not as much - to do - as after an attack).
+ to oil

m.l

@ Hampshire, 24th

Krup inspects in Iran (while NK: as Iraq, 1998:)

Iran is a challenge to US legitimacy
in the oil-rich ME

(vs. GP - legitimacy isn't equally
important)

Lee

HR # 70?

HR 14 (Jones)

March 3

✓ 1. We cannot be on a higher spirit ~~than~~ of
use when we are using our weapons

as we are now, with emergency of
Congress

✓ (How Congress can participate in open
threats before?

editorials?

✓ 2. We (Pres, Congress, —) are promoting briefly
and use of our war now

(and by our current use of our
conventional forces —

(dual-purpose forces)

and by

✓ 3. The motion, "all options — i.e. all options —
are on the table"

[Not ~~for~~ for them!

But NK, yes! .

(What if we put its retal. options
on the table?)

(and note — we've removed the conventional
option from our negot. with NK: (or Pak) (China)

The contrast, noted by all, further
promotes briefly:

✓ (Does Seoul find its own "weapons" — for
anything but now related to one attack? Pak?
China? (Even UK? (see was listed by

○

^{1A=A?}
to Colossus, for examination;
these all apply to a wire codes program,
plus...

✓

What Cong. is currently putting on the Table —
~~what~~ what the Admin wants — is a presidentially-
initiated Examination or analysis exam.

✓

That is the most "effective" report that,
when the Pres is (as usual, but not necessarily)
more or more likely to carry it out
(although, direct it) than Congress

✓

esp. when the Pres. can cover the "Washington
thing" — which is now, as never before.

✓

(Can it be that Bush and his aides
are not aware of the power, the
bargaining value, of the unquestioned,
universal — and well-known — image of his
(+ Cheney: "the bad cop and the worse
cop.")

✓

Cong. could go one step further in
strengthening Bush's bargaining power: they
could do what they did in Oct 02
[and ever since — e.g. in Military Com. Act. 02] ^{Patricia Act, FISA, (Torture?)}
and for some explicit reasons (which
Hollay is still defending):

Delegate this power to the Pres explicitly,
(against the Court) open-ended in time and scope

3-3-7

✓ Wf do what they are doing, verbally, and
not do that — "for better bargaining" ?!

✓ Is that too dangerous and irresponsible, in the
eyes of the constituents & on allis

(another TGR)

○ (Can UK parliament do what Cong. can do —
the opposite?)

○ What coalition partners would we have
this time?

○ (The same "new Europe"?)

Which not? any new ones?

Israel?

Qatar, Kuwait: Saudis vs. Shia? What repression will there
be now?

(or maybe, on the other hand, NATO is
cooperating, already)

Will this help promote democracy in states around
us? or much greater repression, or civil strife?

A Pak/Taliban regime, now — and?

"Delicate balance" Spain: : Pak? What do we do then?

Effort as an challenge?

A new regime w/ "tilt" to AQ!

What if Saudis? (not now — yet!)

Shia in SA, next to Iraq?

Do we want Saudis to get Bomb — after we attack
Iran? Egypt? Attitudes to Israel THEN?!

3-3-7



(not in Christen file)

① Chung: ^{we} He would understand if Israeli went ahead?

(How does this fit with STH report?)

② Why does he oppose this? We get arms without brains?

Why not a TG incident: a narrow Israeli attack? another Omering? PROVOKE Iran...

Or, must the war be Iraqi (our) airspace?

Can they defend on the arm?

③ [If to go (or an attack in Iraq (of US) is blamed on Iran -

Bush won't "and" any approval, even with a Bill.]

④ [to PDS - How can he - as after 9-11 - even doubt Israel will not attempt to run another "false flag" op (see, catalytic war) and/as armed, and get away with it? (and expect to).

(esp. after Iran retal - not expected, or experienced, with Iraqi attack - any more than VN.

This is first target that can do that - see other crises and

interventions: VN, Korea, Cambodia, Laos,

Guatemala, [Chilean did!] Panama, (Libyan did,

in Lib. - and

threatened ships]

except SU
Cuba - II,
Bosnia

none are

↓
↓^{me}
↓ proposal: to remove Pres-initiated
attack — conventional or one — from
the Table

○ (and ~~Pres~~ one attack on any
non-nuc country NSA

(BW? CW? :)

○ (What is Russia on this? NSAs
at the NWS?
not formal?]

Yes, this weakens the Moscow Point

✓ (it doesn't remove it — even without
open deframer

— as saying "start" (not, veto!)

✓ by Pres; TG

"We do it?"

But the reasons for doing it are:

✓ 1) The Pres + VP are Moscow.

This judgment should not be threatened

2) of more than the assertions.

✓ 2) There is strong evidence of testing
that — as — 2002 — B+C do not intend,
explicit or want a negotiated solution,
but can attack and regime change —

and believe that — that an attack
will give them a favorable regime change

3

Pressure is not (?) likely to work as from.

✓ ~~Not~~ They are not bluffing with the threats —
it is the "deploring" that is a bluff.

(Not No. for Reel, or Kato, but see Paul,
(David & Reyes!))

Cog. should not vote a new delegation:

It should remove a Congressionally — pre-
delegated, presidentially-initiated way
"from the table."

(Hypothetically, it can wait on Cong-
authorized threat on the table

(NUC? NO!)

I would say not — because of
effect now on prodig and use by others
(and the one "markers", wot forward)

But it would have to remove the
one stone to remove it — which I would
strongly favor.

See instructions of statement of Perry
(Chief of Kevigny) et al —

unless if there is an attack;
or pretty unless if the support
can't threats

(as each of the has done in
past: Perry/Kevigny...

3-3-07

Continue.

There is a world opinion / norm on this —
which we are violating.

Press the convergence of new weapons, ^{scams.} ~~proliferation~~
(NK, Iraq, Iran
nuclear: Britain/Pak tests

Iraq 90-91 (clean then brown)

NK track

SU stockpile

and terrorism (in US) (delayed)

and the down democracy

(banned to come: with HAC/N attention
and USC policies

NUSA 8-3-07

Our relation to ^{Land's} SA and to Park
is like (at one remove)

SA + Park relation to AQ

(9, to elements in this service that
~~the~~ one friendly to AQ):

We support regimes that include elements
who support AQ directly.

SA regime does this (PDS) the way businesses
support Mafia in Chicago: as "protection money".
(is madness to threats?! or) to keep hostility
directed at others (us!)

(?
We support SA regime to keep the trading
personally with us? to keep these same
elements from overthrowing the and trading
better than to attack "us" -
US, instead:

independently of USG/corps.

However, we support Park regime but it
be replaced by the elements that (hate us but)
support AQ directly

Nusa.

8-3-07

Our relation to ^{Land's} SA and to Pak
is like (at one moment)

SA + Pak relation to AQ

(Q, to elements in the societies that
~~the~~ one friend to AQ):

We support regimes that include elements
who support AQ directly.

SA regime does this (PDS) the very business
support Mafiosi in Chicago: as "protection money".
(is modern to threats? or) to keep hostility
directed at others (us!)

(?
We support SA regime to keep the trading
functioning with us? to keep these same
elements from overthrowing the and trading
better than they attack "us" -
US, instead:

independence of USG/corps.

However, we support Pak regime but it
be replaced by the elements that (hate us but)
support AQ directly

3-4-7



Mont Halp
Laguer

sign-on letter

Further answered to

2 weeks ago

stopped talking

Open Society Policy Center
Defense Approp.

Mont-up hill

pretend on N



Mike Amity

Michael Amity 7 PM Sunday 3-4-7

Keeping her provision as a bargaining
chip - saying, "he is considering..."

Delors would support her provision if it
was in the bill...

No one would confirm

no one will say it will stay in the bill...

one-line

Mutua + Delors considering putting in
Feb 14, AP stays

Doris Okey a Committee
Tuesday

OSP (5010) 4)

gathering Tuesday

Ans Central Centre e-mail list
Dear Coalition

Mike Sledgey ^{asst. to?} - has her copy - don't
count on its rest

March 8th - work-up Thurs.

Senate 2 weeks later

Name: limit amendment

Webb, Leahy, ELK, Byrd

3-7

Klamm

What would consequences be for US soc
al "influence" if USS let go of bases
in Iraq, and Iran did get nukes, or was
induced not to, External nationalist;
but no attack on How?]
regime change...

US stopped effort to control oil? subtle

What US elites are prepared to accept this?
Public?

But - what benefits does Bush really get from
(mere) attack on Iran?

Heard: are we "tripped" back to backing ^{Sanders, etc} Soviet -
including Al Qaeda! - vs. Shia, as in
1980 (Center vs. SO in Afghan, Iraq vs. Iran...
with AQ
1990

Stop Iran attack: long time for move to Abolition
(see HAK group) as overseen to proach
and for restoring a republic.

"To the Republic." (google republic?)

Nation 2nd, Feb 5 (Schull?)

(needs better analysis of VN/N - Iraq)

Investigate, improve, cut funds
(support of troops?)

Feb 21

3-10-7

Iran "Summit"
3-10-7

McCain: "Only thing worse than war with
Iran [or a "cap"] is a war-and peace."
↓

~~and~~ Hilary agrees!

So problem will remain after Bush

Today

4 M/day - consumes 2 M/day.

Pop. has doubled in past 27 years;

seems, Iran will have no oil for export.

Seems to promise more energy.

Strat. interests: are neighbors

Increasing involvement of US (Iraq, Afghanistan, Persian Gulf
Caspian region)

(Is it the "Persian" Gulf or "Arabian Gulf"?)

— or American Lake?

US open air of regime change

Perle: Not war want to go to Tehran.

They are interested in building infrastructure
to have a cap

a detour - (but this regime area, not "cap"!)

Perle's circles:

"want to be able to preempt a preemptive
attack" (Oh, oh: dangerous.)

They saw: what happened to SH, what did not
happen to Kim Jong-il.

(but Khamenei - fatwa?)

(book? memoir?)

All Akra Rock - when Mohammed ascended to heaven

[Iran has every legal right for enrichment —
but is it wise to press for this now, even
under IAEA inspection

(A former Shah — Bayan, Mottford, CAIR, Boudeni

Iran is now more democratic than Saudi (Egypt? Jordan?
kill democracy goodbye if attacked Kuwait? Syria?
Iranian women Abolmohamed would Abbas? (Iraq?!)
are not anti-US — like it (?)
only ones in ME

Ch 7 may wish
to interview
you at the
break.

4-5-7

Can a president be prevented from
leading us into a disastrous, aggressive,
unconstitutional war (Iran)

That AIPAC wants? (media
Congress
donors)

Could he be impeached for it?

(or, for a set of foreign policy?
on Korea, Vietnam, Iraq)

Would he "lose Congress"? Funding?

Would he lose support of

Dem?

Dem leaders?

Dem candidates?

NYT? WP? Networks?

(For use of arms, after Iran response?)

Draft?

(Would 22nd amendment apply in 2008?)

(on China)

(Hagballed attacked Iran, by

(after by attacked?)

Did that make Hag. our enemy?

Can we/Congress/candidates end/withdraw
altogether from a war that if AIPAC
doesn't want us to?

[us. MHA/Hillary: We want in to achieve their goals
without US occupation, (Conversion/Agots/ON...)]
Policies
Arab League

4-5-7

Is attack on Iran wanted by

Israel/
AIPAC?

(NRA "don't
know"; they want
pres. threat)

Turkey? (Does the lobby
line up with AIPAC?)

Summit? (Sondis...)

Christian Zionists?
Evangelicals?

(Can Christians take on Raptnists?!)

Oil camps? (No - Phyllis Bennis)

USAF? Navy? (Pace?)

Can AIPAC be ended?

By force?

Ston

(Reverie

Allen Fox ...

Crucial: next and

Iran attack;

stop using war (J Crin. ignores)

use of war other than

not just Iran;

Pale, Israel, (fpr: N)

Not too that "American

opposed all policy."

and TR: Merrill Waller's letter

(I'm an American
liberal)

In ethnic background,

Russian Jewish, not

providing of it.

anti-Semite? about

anti-AIPAC, yes

step forward

"good policy"

for Type I Out...

(not, "American")